

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,037	10/15/2003	Erich Kast	BE-119	4999
75	90 12/27/2005		EXAMINER	
Friedrich Kuef Suite 910	ffner			
317 Madison A	venue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY	10017			

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/686,037	KAST ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David Comstock	3733

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 2	<u>26 September 2005</u> is defe	ective for failure to comply w	ith one or more	provisions of 37	CFR
41.37.					

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). 3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). 5.  $\square$ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). 8. The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10.🖂 Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The brief is not signed by the attorney of record, and the signing attorney is not associated with the customer number of the attorney of record. In addition, regarding item 4 above, the brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the drawings by reference characters. Furthermore, it is noted that the statements at lines 10-19 of the Summary of Claimed Subject Matter are

not directed to claimed subject matter, but rather to the purported advantages of the invention.

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**